

IMMINGHAM EASTERN RO-RO TERMINAL



Environmental Statement: Volume 2
Figures
Document Reference: 8.3

APFP Regulations 2009 – Regulation 5(2)(a) and 5(2)(e)
PINS Reference – TR030007

February 2023

Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal

Environmental Statement: Volume 2
Figures

February 2023



Document Information

Document Information	
Project	Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal
Document title	Environmental Statement: Volume 2 Figures
Commissioned by	Associated British Ports
Document ref	8.3
APFP Reg 2009	Regulation 5(2)(a) and 5(2)(e)
Prepared by	ABPmer

Date	Version	Revision Details
10/02//2023	1	

Summary

This document (Volume 2) includes all the figures in support of the Environmental Statement (ES) for the proposed Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal (IERRT). The main report is included in Volume 1 of the ES and all of the appendices supporting the main report are included in Volume 3 of the ES.

Contents

8.3.1. Introduction

- 8.3.1(a) Fig 1.1 - Location of the proposed development
- 8.3.1(b) Fig 1.2 - Layout of proposed marine works at Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal
- 8.3.1(c) Fig 1.3 - Layout of proposed landside works at Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal

8.3.2. Proposed Development

- 8.3.2(a) Fig 2.1 - Indicative area of capital dredge
- 8.3.2(b) Fig 2.2 - Location of licensed dredge disposal sites

8.3.3. Details of Project Construction and Operation

- 8.3.3 Fig 3.1 - Demolition works associated with Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal

8.3.4. Need and Alternatives

- 8.3.4(a) Fig 4.1 - HGV driving times from Immingham
- 8.3.4(b) Fig 4.2 - Water depths of 7m below Chart Datum
- 8.3.4(c) Fig 4.3 - Port of Grimsby land use
- 8.3.4(d) Fig 4.4 - Port of Hull land use
- 8.3.4(e) Fig 4.5 - Killingholme to Immingham land use
- 8.3.4(f) Fig 4.6 - Killingholme land use
- 8.3.4(g) Fig 4.7 - Port of Immingham land use

8.3.5. Legislation, Policy and Consenting Framework

No figures for this chapter.

8.3.6. Impact Assessment Approach

No figures for this chapter.

8.3.7. Physical Processes

- 8.3.7(a) Fig 7.1 - Regional setting within Humber Estuary
- 8.3.7(b) Fig 7.2 - Current and wave roses at the proposed site
- 8.3.7(c) Fig 7.3 - Benthic sampling locations
- 8.3.7(d) Fig 7.4 - Particle size distribution of bed samples
- 8.3.7(e) Fig 7.5 - Maximum excess SSC from peak flood (top) and peak ebb (bottom) at HU060
- 8.3.7(f) Fig 7.6 - Maximum SSC and sedimentation from dredge and disposal across full modelled period
- 8.3.7(g) Fig 7.7 - Timeseries of excess SSC (top) and SED (bottom) at locations down (left) and up-estuary (right)
- 8.3.7(h) Fig 7.8 - Peak flood baseline flows (top) and impact of scheme (bottom)

- 8.3.7(i) Fig 7.9 - Peak ebb baseline flows (top) and impact of scheme (bottom)
- 8.3.7(j) Fig 7.10 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites DP1 (left) and DP2 (right)
- 8.3.7(k) Fig 7.11 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites DP3 (left) and DP4 (right)
- 8.3.7(l) Fig 7.12 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites P1 (left) and P2 (right)
- 8.3.7(m) Fig 7.13 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites P3 (left) and P4 (right)
- 8.3.7(n) Fig 7.14 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites P5 (left) and P6 (right)
- 8.3.7(o) Fig 7.15 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites P7 (left) and IOT (right)
- 8.3.7(p) Fig.7.16 - Timeseries of changes to flows and bed shear stress for sites HST (left) and Able (right)
- 8.3.7(q) Fig 7.17 - Baseline peak flood flow speed (top), change due to scheme (left) and due to vessels on berth (right)
- 8.3.7(r) Fig 7.18 - Baseline ebb flood flow speed (top), change due to scheme (left) and due to vessels on berth (right)
- 8.3.7(s) Fig 7.19 - Modelled bed level change over a mean spring neap cycle
- 8.3.7(t) Fig 7.20 - Predicted change to BSS on flood (top) and ebb (bottom)
- 8.3.7(u) Fig 7.21 - Predicted baseline sedimentation over mean spring neap cycle
- 8.3.7(v) Fig 7.22 - Baseline Hs (top) and effect of scheme (bottom) for 0.5-yr wave event from NE (left) and E (right)
- 8.3.7(w) Fig 7.23 - Baseline Hs (top) and effect of scheme (bottom) for 0.5-yr wave event from SE (left) and 50-yr wave from NE (right)
- 8.3.7(x) Fig 7.24 - Baseline Hs (top) and effect of scheme (bottom) for 50-yr wave event from E (left) and from SE (right)

8.3.8. Water and Sediment Quality

- 8.3.8(a) Fig 8.1 - WFD water bodies in the vicinity of the proposed development
- 8.3.8(b) Fig 8.2 - WFD protected areas in the vicinity of the proposed development
- 8.3.8(c) Fig 8.3 - Sediment sampling locations within proposed dredge area and water quality monitoring location

8.3.9. Nature Conservation and Marine Ecology

- 8.3.9(a) Fig 9.1 - Pre and post consent monitoring locations of coastal waterbird surveys as part of the Immingham Outer Harbour development
- 8.3.9(b) Fig 9.2 - Project intertidal and subtidal benthic sampling stations
- 8.3.9(c) Fig 9.3 - Internationally and nationally designated conservation sites
- 8.3.9(d) Fig 9.4 - Spawning and nursery grounds of commercial fish species

- 8.3.9(e) Fig 9.5 - TraC fish monitoring stations in the vicinity of the proposed development
- 8.3.9(f) Fig 9.6 - Annual grey seal pup counts at Donna Nook (Source, SCOS, 2021)
- 8.3.9(g) Fig 9.7 - Aerial counts of grey seals at Donna Nook (Source, SCOS, 2021)
- 8.3.9(h) Fig 9.8 - Harbour porpoise sightings in the Humber Estuary since 2000 (Source Sea Watch Foundation, 2021)
- 8.3.9(i) Fig 9.9 - The 5-year mean peak number of birds in Sector B during different months
- 8.3.9(j) Fig 9.10 - The distribution of coastal waterbirds within Sector B
- 8.3.9(k) Fig 9.11 - Construction activity associated with capital dredging and potential disturbance zone buffer
- 8.3.9(l) Fig 9.12 - Construction activity associated with the outer finger pier (and connecting pontoon infrastructure) and potential disturbance zone buffers
- 8.3.9(m) Fig 9.13 - Construction activity associated with the approach jetty and inner pier and potential disturbance zone buffer

8.3.10. Commercial and Recreational Navigation

- 8.3.10(a) Fig 10.1 - NRA study area
- 8.3.10(b) Fig 10.2 - AIS transits (Sept 2021 - Aug 2022) average weekly density grid

8.3.11. Coastal Protection, Flood Defence and Drainage

- 8.3.11 Fig 11.1 - Location of surface water features, study area and flood zones

8.3.12. Ground Conditions, including Land Quality

- 8.3.12(a) Fig 12.1 - Study area
- 8.3.12(b) Fig 12.2a - Geology (BGS 50k) bedrock and artificial ground
- 8.3.12(c) Fig 12.2b - Geology (BGS 50k) superficial deposits and artificial ground
- 8.3.12(d) Fig 12.3 - Groundwater abstraction

8.3.13. Air Quality

- 8.3.13(a) Fig 13.1a - Air quality study area
- 8.3.13(b) Fig 13.1b - Air quality study area
- 8.3.13(c) Fig 13.1c - Air quality study area
- 8.3.13(d) Fig 13.1d - Air quality study area
- 8.3.13(e) Fig 13.1e - Air quality study area
- 8.3.13(f) Fig 13.2 - Construction phase assessment
- 8.3.13(g) Fig 13.3a - Operational Phase Assessment
- 8.3.13(h) Fig 13.3b - Operational Phase Assessment
- 8.3.13(i) Fig 13.3c - Operational Phase Assessment
- 8.3.13(j) Fig 13.3d - Operational Phase Assessment

8.3.14. Airborne Noise and Vibration

- 8.3.14 Fig 14.1 - Sound monitoring locations

8.3.15. Cultural Heritage and Marine Archaeology

- 8.3.15(a) Fig 15.1 - Site location and study area
- 8.3.15(b) Fig 15.2 - Palaeogeographic features of archaeological potential
- 8.3.15(c) Fig 15.3 - Palaeogeographic feature data example 1
- 8.3.15(d) Fig 15.4 - Palaeogeographic feature data example 2
- 8.3.15(e) Fig 15.5 - Palaeogeographic feature data example 3
- 8.3.15(f) Fig 15.6 - Seabed features of archaeological potential
- 8.3.15(g) Fig 15.7 - Data examples of seabed features
- 8.3.15(h) Fig 15.8 - Photographs of intertidal heritage receptors

8.3.16. Socio-economic

- 8.3.16 Fig 16.1 - Bulk cargo relocation sites

8.3.17. Traffic and Transport

- 8.3.17(a) Fig 17.1 – Road names plan
- 8.3.17(b) Fig 17.2 – The wider transport network
- 8.3.17(c) Fig 17.3 – Traffic survey locations
- 8.3.17(d) Fig 17.4 – Rail infrastructure plan
- 8.3.17(e) Fig 17.5 – Light vehicle distribution
- 8.3.17(f) Fig 17.6 – Light vehicle assignment
- 8.3.17(g) Fig 17.7 – Heavy vehicle distribution
- 8.3.17(h) Fig 17.8 – HGV assignment
- 8.3.17(i) Fig 17.9 – PCU assignment

8.3.18. Land Use Planning

- 8.3.18(a) Fig 18.1 - HSE land use planning zones for Phillips 66 Ltd – Immingham Pipeline Centre
- 8.3.18(b) Fig 18.2 - HSE land use planning zones for Phillips 66 Ltd – Immingham Propylene Storage
- 8.3.18(c) Fig 18.3 - HSE land use planning zones for Exolum (West) [(Previously Inter Terminal (West))]
- 8.3.18(d) Fig 18.4 - HSE land use planning zones for Exolum (East) [(Previously Inter Terminal (East))]
- 8.3.18(e) Fig 18.5 - HSE land use planning zones for ABP Immingham Bulk Park
- 8.3.18(f) Fig 18.6 - HSE land use planning zones for Immingham Fertiliser Terminal
- 8.3.18(g) Fig 18.7 - HSE land use planning zones for Associated British Ports Shed 2 and 3
- 8.3.18(h) Fig 18.8 - HSE land use planning zones for Associated Petroleum Terminals (Immingham) Ltd
- 8.3.18(i) Fig 18.9 - HSE land use planning zones for Origin UK Operations Ltd
- 8.3.18(j) Fig 18.10 - HSE land use planning zones for Tronox Pigment UK Ltd and other sites in the vicinity
- 8.3.18(k) Fig 18.11 - Safeguarding zones for ABP Port of Immingham explosives licenced site
- 8.3.18(l) Fig 18.12 - Combined HSE land use planning zones for all major hazard sites and pipelines

8.3.19. Climate Change

No figures for this chapter.

8.3.20. Cumulative and In-combination Effects

8.3.20 Fig 20.1 - Location of projects, developments and activities that are scoped into the inter-project effects assessment

8.3.21. Impact Assessment Summary

No figures for this chapter.

Contact Us

ABPmer

Quayside Suite,

Medina Chambers

Town Quay, Southampton

SO14 2AQ

T +44 (0) 23 8071 1840

F +44 (0) 23 8071 1841

E enquiries@abpmer.co.uk

